



WisDOT 2018-2022

Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP) Application

http://wisconsindot.gov/Pages/doing-bus/local-gov/astnce-pgms/aid/tap.aspx

Review and utilize TAP guidelines and application instructions when completing this document.

As discussed in the WisDOT TAP Guidelines, this application will go through a two step process. The first step will be an assessment by the region as to eligibility and whether or not the project will be able to meet the rigorous, statutorily mandated commencement deadline. The second step will be an assessment of the relative merits of the application compared to other eligible applications. **Applicants will be notified if their application is found ineligible.**

Application Type	1929/11/21/35/11/5	MALIF	mague 4
Select one and only one box for the application type boundaries of a TMA will need to either compete solicitation. Refer to this map (http://wisconsindecomposition.	locally within the MPO or ot.gov/Documents/doing-	as part of the Statev	vide
orgs/map.pdf) for more information about the TN	1A areas.		
			\-codass can
Appleton Area Metropolitan Planning Orga	nization (MPO) –		ant, may de
Green Bay MPO		scollà la ogsiloV : , .	Jean outs
Madison Area MPO			an Alberta
Southeastern Wisconsin Regional Planning	Commission (Milwaukee	and Round Lake Bead	ch)
If none of the above, project application is from:			on act mak
Area with population between 5,000 and 2	.00,000		Lalest Line a
Area with population of 5,000 or less			Table Lysa ***
Region-wide: % of population within	a TMA area		io Javonii Si
% of population betwe	en 5000 and 200,000, &		assentia T
% of population betwe	een 5000 and 200,000		remarked F
tiolis			nui Antoloot
	(max-ulsQ /he/s)	gAl Dash ranggaly Is	nine Jasa i
Project Applicant			
	······································	VIEC (BROSVIES)	T JAMES VILLE I
Name, Location of Public Sponsor and Sponsor Type	:• (yizani) :: [: 49i:		
Sponsor Name: Village of Allouez			
Sponsor Type (Check appropriate box):			
Local government (check one): County	☐ City ☐ Village	Town	
	ansit agency		
State or federal natural resource/public land	the state of the s		
School district or school(s) Tribal Nati	on		
Project Title: Doty School Safe Routes to School Pro	-		
Describe location, boundaries and length of the proj			
Doty School on Longview Avenue to East River Driv	ue (1,500 ft of sidewalk), a	and East River Drive	from Hoffman
Road to Lebrun Road (3,915 ft of sidewalk).			
County: Brown			
Street Address of Project (if located on a highway or	road): The 700 block on Lo	ngview Avenue at Do	oty School and
east to East River Drive, and north/south along East	River Drive.		
Note: For <u>infrastructure projects</u> , attach a project	location map on one shee	et of paper, size 8½ k	oy 11.



Project Contact
Primary Public Sponsor Agency Contact Information:
Name: Jeff Piette Title: Engineer Street Address: 1900 Libal Street Phone: (920)448-2800 Municipality: Village of Allouez State: WI Zip: 54301
Secondary E-mail: Jeff@villageofallouez.com
Public Sponsor Agency or Private Organization Contact Information (if applicable):
Organization / Agency Name: Name: Title: Street Address: Phone : () - Municipality: State: WI Zip: E-mail:
Head of the Local Public Sponsor Agency or Private Organization Contact Information:
Organization / Agency Name: Village of Allouez Name: James Rafter Title: Village President Street Address: 1900 Libal Street Phone: (920) 448-2800 Municipality: Village of Allouez State: WI Zip: 54301 E-mail: jrafter@villageofallouez.com
ng nguyên ki ki ki ku na ku ke ken derêk esta de ka na ku har na ji ji ka ki ku guzên di de di na har na har j Na na nazar na
MPO, if applicable
Select one, if applicable,
Bay Lake RPC (Sheboygan),

MPO, if applicable	
Select one, if applicable,	
Bay Lake RPC (Sheboygan),	
□ Brown County Planning Commission (Green Bay)	
☐ Chippewa-Eau Claire MPO (WCWRPC – Eau Claire)	
☐ Dubuque Metropolitan Area Planning Study	
Duluth/Superior Metropolitan Interstate Committee (Supe	rior)
East Central Wisconsin RPC (Appleton, Oshkosh)	
Fond du Lac MPO (Fond du Lac)	
☐ Janesville MPO (Janesville)	
☐ La Crosse Area Planning Committee (La Crosse)	
Madison Area MPO (Madison)	
Marathon County MPO (Wausau)	
Southeastern Wisconsin RPC (SEWRPC - Waukesha)	
Stateline Area Transportation Study (Beloit)	
Refer to this map (http://wisconsindot.gov/Documents/doing	g-bus/local-gov/plning-orgs/map.pdf) for more
information about the TMA areas.	
MPO Project Prioritization	
If an MPO is submitting more than one project in an urbanized	area within an MPO, the sponsor must rank each
project in priority order, e.g., 1 (highest priority) to 5 (lowest), f	or the local priority among five projects. Local
ranking will be used as a guide in project selection. Project Pr	ority:
Please Note: MPO Project Prioritization is due by April 2	0, 2018.

A-2 10/25/2017

Project Activity	ythosi betras ia. To dopt 📋 i
TAP Eligibility Category:	Swar Guyynon II, 18 a 19
Indicate which ONE of below categories <u>best</u> i	dentifies the proposed project:
	ion, planning, and design of on-road and off-road trail facilities for motorized forms of transportation (this category includes on-roa
Safe routes for non-drivers, including o	children, older adults, and individuals with disabilities
Conversion and use of abandoned railr motorized transportation users	road corridors for trails for pedestrians, bicyclists, or other non-
Construction of turnouts, overlooks, ar	nd viewing areas
Historic preservation and rehabilitation	of historic transportation facilities
NOTE: Applicants proposing a project v	gory includes infrastructure and non-infrastructure activities) within the SRTS eligibility category MUST complete the es to School Plan' sections on page A-5 below.
•	opy and paste your response from a Word Document. eld below. This summary is also the first question in the narrative
Longview Avenue at Doty School east to East I sidewalk along the west side of East River Dr with the sidewalk on Hoffman Road. These s River Drive and Longview Avenue to access Dotwo pedestrian crossings on Longview Aven	sidewalk to connect the existing sidewalk on the north side of River Drive to provide a safe walking route for students, and install live from the south village limits (LeBrun Street) north to connect idewalks will provide a safe walking route for students along East by School without walking in the streets. This project also includes the to connect the sidewalk alleys from the south to Longview ew sidewalk on the north side of the street.
	ew Avenue sidewalk in 2017 (Webster to Delahaut) and plans to is part of a street reconstruction project. This will provide sidewalk
Project Benefit	State of the second teacher and the second for the second for the second teachers at the se
	cribe in application narrative:
ENVIRONMENTAL	tribe in application narrative: 1988 1 1988
	odal shift to biking, walking or transit from utilitarian car travel.
	nection to the natural environment.
	nection to the natural environment.

10/25/2017 A-3

SAFETY - Project addresses a specific safety concern. The project contains or addresses:

transit, jobs, education, and essential services.

ECONOMIC JUSTICE - Project would go beyond community enhancement to address a specific "communities of concern," including elderly, disabled, minority, and low income population? The project within ½ mile of affordable housing complex(s). The project improves low income access to

Collision data
Lack of separated facility
High speed/volume
Provides sidewalk or pathway, with curb-cuts
Provides bike lanes, markings, and signage
Implements traffic calming measures
Signage and/or markings directed to safety concern
Provides crosswalk enhancement (striping, refuge island, signal, etc.)
For SRTS Projects there is:
Documented bike/pedestrian crash involving school age children or crossing guard at
arrival/dismissal times near the school.
Crossings of state highways, main arterial roads or other high speed or high traffic volume roads.
Lack of bicycle and pedestrian facilities or lack of connectivity of facilities that do exist. High level of parental concern documented in survey data.
Few or no children who live within 1 mile walk or bike. Busing may be offered to everyone because of documented hazards.
Children are walking but application shows that unsafe conditions exist. HISTORICAL AND/OR PRESERVATION SIGNIFICANCE — Project would have strong historical or
preservation benefit. ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT — Project facilitates economic development by increasing bicycle/
pedestrian traffic in commercial corridors or by creating a destination that will help retail.
pedestriali traffic in confinercial corridors of by creating a destination that will help retail.
Local Resolution of Support
There is or there will be a local resolution of support for the proposed project, executed by a governing body that
has the authority to make financial commitment on behalf of the project sponsor (i.e., County Board, City Council, or Regional Planning Commission Policy Board).
Yes No
Please note that a resolution will be required for an application to be eligible, which means a copy of the
resolution should be submitted to the Region Local Program Manager no later than 5:00 PM April 20, 2018.
resolution should be submitted to the Region Local Program Manager no later than 5.00 PM April 20, 2016.
WisDOT History of the Project Area
Is the proposed project on a State/ Connecting Highway County Highway Local Road
Name of Roadway: Longview Avenue (eastern section)
Does the proposed project intersect a State/ Connecting Highway County Highway Local Road Name of Roadway: East River Drive (southern section)
Has there been or will there be a road improvement project in this project area?
If yes, year: 2018 Project ID:
If yes, describe project: State Highway Project STP Local Bridge LRIP Other
Pavement Replacement Reconstruction New Construction
Roadway Project Scope: Village project to pulverize and pave East River Drive from Longview Avenue to LeBrun
Roadway Project Scope: Village project to pulverize and pave East River Drive from Longview Avenue to LeBrun
Roadway Project Scope: Village project to pulverize and pave East River Drive from Longview Avenue to LeBrun Street. Village funded project as a maintenance project.
Roadway Project Scope: Village project to pulverize and pave East River Drive from Longview Avenue to LeBrun Street. Village funded project as a maintenance project. Existing Facilities & Projects that Impact the Proposed Project
Roadway Project Scope: Village project to pulverize and pave East River Drive from Longview Avenue to LeBrun Street. Village funded project as a maintenance project. Existing Facilities & Projects that Impact the Proposed Project Rail Facilities:

10/25/2017 A-4

Will an easement from OCR be required?	Yes	No
Is the proposed project location in an area with known safety issues?	☐ Yes	⊠ No
If yes, specify: and (consider applying for Highway Safety Improvement Program (H		
voluments of the second of the	La Tible	te intellibra
Is this project on or parallel to a local road or street?	X Yes	No
If Yes, provide the name of the road or street: Longview Avenue, East River Drive		
Does this project cross a state or federal highway?	Yes	⊠ No
Does this project run parallel to a state or federal highway?	Yes	No <i>lf</i>
Yes to any of these questions attach an existing typical cross-section of the roadway, sho	wing right o	f way, travel
lanes, shoulder and sidewalk (if applicable). Examples are available in <u>FDM15-1-5</u> attack	ıment <u>5.3</u> of	the WisDOT
facilities Development Manual.		
	,91	atiz isana la H
Will this project be constructed as part of another planned road project?	Yes	⊠ No
If Yes, specify if this is a state, county, or local project and when the road project is sched	uled for cons	
Will any exceptions to standards be requested?	Yes	⊠ No
If Yes, provide a brief description of the exceptions that may be requested:	ways, Scorle	Latew Jesie L
a portion of East Elvar Drive is located in the 100 year floodplain.		
Real Estate (RE) /Right of Way (ROW)		Werland
Was any real estate acquired or transferred in anticipation of this project?	Yes	⊠ No □
If yes, please explain.		Stormwaters
List any other funding (past or present) used within the proposed project limits (i.e. DN	IR Stewards	hip)
None is provided and the state of the state		Comments
Mone Distribution [2] ON [3] SEA [4] Christ of Support		n. wobsernH
Is the project on an existing right of way (ROW)?	X Yes	□ No
(NOTE: It is recommended that local funds be used to acquire right of way)	∠ 103 ₁₀	Jipal Daeide
transport and the transport of the first boundaries		Co. La cassad I
If Yes, have you obtained a permit from the WisDOT Regional Office Maintenance Sect	ion to cond	uct work on
the right of way?	Yes	⊠ No
Check all boxes that apply to ROW acquisition for this project:		telmararea l
None Less than ½ acre More than ½ acre		Section 6/f)
Parklands Large parcels Memporary interests		Comments:
List any other funding (past or present) used within the proposed project limits (i.e. DN	IR Stewards	hip)
——————————————————————————————————————		Comments
If right of way was acquired in anticipation of this project, attach a detailed list of ava	ilable comr	oleted
project and parcel acquisition documentation. Refer to Section 11.2, Records Manager		
MANUAL for RIGHT OF WAY ACQUISITION	Schedule R	tio during mode
http://wisconsindot.gov/dtsdManuals/re/lpa-manual/lpa-manual-ch11.pdf		
iji Veli (ne projecu projecu umizerodnicipili empioyees usushipiti empioyees usushipiti empiosorio. Projecti		A small tanou
If right of way was acquired in anticipation of this project, did the acquisition contain an	y buildings c	or relocation?
Yes No	ENCED SELL	metoxa Sas ii
If yes, Please read Section 6.2, Relocation Assistance, found in the LPA MANUA	L for RIGHT	OF WAY
ACQUISITION to determine if relocation assistance was properly offered and de	ocumented	is a survi Surviva su
http://wisconsindot.gov/dtsdManuals/re/lpa-manual/lpa-manual-ch6.pdf		TOM
The state of the s		- 5071
If right of way is required, acquisition will occur through a transfer of an adequate inte		13 00 3916151/1
o commenced in the relation of TV year 12 May		∐ No

FHWA has determined that an adequate real property interest excludes licensing agreements (LA), which agreements will not be considered. For real estate questions, please contact Kerry Paruleski, WisDOT Local Public Agency Real Estate Statewide Facilitator, at (414) 220-5461 or kerry.paruleski@dot.wi.gov. **Environmental/Cultural Issues** Yes ⊠ No Not Investigated Agriculture **Comments:** Not Investigated Yes No Archaeological sites **Comments:** Not Investigated Yes No Historical sites Comments: X No Not Investigated **Designated Main Street area** Yes Comments: X Yes No Not Investigated Lakes, waterways, floodplains Comments: Sidewalk on a portion of East River Drive is located in the 100-year floodplain. Yes No Not Investigated Wetland Comments: No Not Investigated Yes Stormwater management Comments: No Not Investigated Yes Hazardous materials sites Comments: No Not Investigated Yes Hazardous materials on existing structure **Comments:** X No Not Investigated **Upland** habitat Yes Comments: Endangered/threatened/migratory species Yes No Not Investigated Comments: Section 4(f) Yes | No Not Investigated Comments: Yes No Not Investigated Section 6(f) Comments: ⊠ No Yes **Not Investigated** Through/adjacent to tribal land Comments: Miscellaneous Issues Construction Schedule Restrictions (trout, migratory bird, local events): None Local Force Account (LFA): Will the proposed project utilize municipal employees to complete any portion of the construction activities? Yes ⋈ No If yes, explain the desired LFA portion of the project. NOTE: LFA work must include labor, equipment and materials. The purchase of materials only is not considered to be a legitimate project. NOTE: Please review WisDOT TAP Guidelines for restrictions on certain LFA work as of July 1, 2015.

10/25/2017 A-6

Will the facility be snowplowed in the winter? Yes

Maintenance (only complete this section if project application involves a trail project):

Comment: Sachha ag gaphaw at beint a section with even band scorpe and
If no to the above question, will the trail allow snowmobile use in the winter? Yes No
Comment:
Anticipated fee for trail use: Yes all No sad allowers and suband not eldiple and allowers to as house
Comment: doeste ad le Climeno et tilve spit/de sinabule 3 a aseine de
Anticipated equestrian use on trail: Yes No
Comment:
Reconstage of thickering his factor feducations schoming a
Other Funding Sources: Has the municipality anticipated, requested or been approved for other federal or state funding from WisDOT for the improvement? Yes No
If yes, please indicate all of the other funding sources that are anticipated, have been requested or approved with the associated project ID(s):
(viogelse
Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) Anticipated Requested Approved ID:
Local Roads Improvement Program (LRIP) Anticipated Requested Approved ID:
Railroad Programs Anticipated Requested Approved ID:
Surface Transportation Program – Rural Anticipated Requested Approved ID:
Surface Transportation Program - Urban Anticipated Requested Approved ID:
CMAQ Anticipated Requested Approved ID:
Transportation Enhancements Program Approved ID:
Bicycle & Pedestrian Facilities Program Approved ID:
Safe Routes to School Approved ID:
Transportation Economic Assistance Program Anticipated Requested Approved ID:
Flood Damage Aids Anticipated Requested Approved ID:
State Funding (Describe): Anticipated Requested Approved ID:
Other: Anticipated Requested Approved ID:
Is project identified in a long-range transportation plan? Yes No If Y, link to plan:
Is project identified in a bicycle-pedestrian plan? Yes No If Y, link to plan:
Is project identified in an outdoor recreation plan? Yes No If Y, link to plan:
Is project identified in a comprehensive plan? Yes No If Y, link to plan:
Is project identified in any other planning document? Yes No If Y, link to plan:
appropriate and přovídě flunhěr da Javis in tote project ve scripdon.
Other Concept Notes: Provide any additional relevant project information that has not been covered in another section of the application.
This project is included in the Allouez Safe Routes to School plan dated February 2012. The village of Allouez
completed a significant portion of the the sidewalk west of Longview Avenue (west of the Doty School and west
of this project) on this school route, and the remaining west portion will be completed during the 2019 Street
and Utility Reconstruction Project funded by the village of Allouez. This will complete the entire school routes if this SRTS project is also completed.
Control of the second of the s
School Demographics (Complete ONLY if submitting a project within the SRTS Programming / Planning eligibility category)
What are the name(s) and demographics for each school affected by the proposed program or project?
Optional: Alternatively, SRTS project applicants may submit a narrative response/attachment 1 detailing
school demographics provided that all fields below are answered in such attachment.
School name: Doty School School population: Grades of students at school:
Estimated number of students currently walking to school (if known):

Estimated number of students currently biking to school (if known):

Does the school have any policies related to walking or biking?
Distance eligibility for riding a bus: Number of children not eligible for busing:
Number of students eligible for busing because of a hazard situation:
Percentage of students living within one mile of the school:
Percentage of students living within two miles of the school:
Percentage of students eligible for free or reduced-cost school meals:
Community(s) served by school: Community(s) population:

Safe Routes to School Infrastructure (Complete ONLY if submitting a project within the SRTS eligibility category)

Does your school or community have a Safe Routes to School plan? X Yes No
If yes, can it be viewed online? Xes, the website address is www.villageofallouez.com No, it is attached
with the application.
If no, please describe, in no more than 400 words, any SRTS-related planning efforts undertaken by the school or
community.

CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION

Project Costs, Priorities, and State Fiscal Years:

NOTE: do not include pages A-7 and A-8 in the Concept Definition Report (CDR) for approved TAP projects.

Complete the table below for the appropriate fiscal years of the application/project cycle (2018-2022). If a sponsor proposes to construct a project in phases throughout multiple years, schedule the project costs as appropriate and provide further details in the project description.

In addition to the table below, attach a detailed breakdown of project costs in Microsoft Excel. This detailed breakdown must clarify assumptions made in creating the budget such that a third party reviewer would be able to substantiate the assumptions.

Submit a separate application and budget for each project or stand-alone project segment for which you are willing to accept funding, or for a bike trail section that could function as a separate facility. Project requests are not considered for partial funding.

Applicants may work with the Local Program Manager within their region for assistance to more accurately estimate costs. All estimates will be reviewed by WisDOT Region staff for consistency with current practices and approaches. Also, WisDOT Region staff may revise estimates in these categories due to the complexity of the project or other factors. WisDOT will notify the sponsor of any changes to estimates within the application and determine whether the sponsor wishes to continue with the application with the revised estimate.

NOTE: Requesting design and construction projects in the same fiscal year is not allowed.

Project Prioritization

priority) to 5 (lowest), for the local priority among five projects. Local ranking will be used as selection. Project Priority:	
 Construction: Basis for Construction Estimate: ☐ Itemized ☐ Per Square Foot ☐ Past Pr ☐ Other, please specify: 	ojects
Schedule Preference: FY 2021 FY 2022	donimiento de la liberto d
Construction: Federal Share of the Participating Construction Cost (80%) Local Share of the Participating Construction Cost (20%) Non-Participating Construction Cost (100% Local)	\$399,960 \$ 99,990 \$ 0
A. Subtotal Construction Costs B. State Review for Construction (Contact WisDOT Region) Percentage: 2.5 %	\$499,950 \$ 12,500
Construction with State Review Cost Estimate (sum lines A and B) Allouez will provide construction engineering and observation services at its cost.	\$512,450
 Design: 100% Locally Funded (state review is required to be included as 100% locally fund 80% Federally Funded ("state review only" projects are not allowed) FY 2019 FY 2020 	ed) OR
A. Plan Development (Contact WisDOT Region) B. State Review for Design (Contact WisDOT Region) Percentage: 10 % Percentage: 2 % Design with State Review Cost Estimate (sum lines A and B)	\$51,250 \$10,250 \$61,500
Real Estate: (Recommend funding with local funds.)	
FY 2019 FY 2020 FY 2021 FY 2022	
Total Real Estate Cost (round to next \$1,000)	over the time ? -şr the morectarins
Utility: (Compensable utility costs must be \$50,000 minimum per utility. Recommend funding with local funds.	
FY 2019 FY 2020 FY 2021 FY 2022	
Total Utility Cost (round to next \$1,000)	eer Placeling ¿ ro) Implementation o
Other: (Planning or SRTS Programming):	
FY 2019 FY 2020 FY 2021 FY 2022	
Total Other Cost (round to next \$1,000) and leve acable to the cost in the cost of the cos	i \$ ang out Hive
NOTE: WisDOT Policy link:	

Narrative Response

Provide a narrative response attachment answering questions 1 through 3, making sure to provide information in response to each sub-question. Please limit the response to (6) six pages, using a **minimum 11-point font size**.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND OVERVIEW.

This is the summary from page A-3 of the application. It is a general overview of the project, including type of facility or project, location (please attach a location map or maps) and any other information about the project. It is brief. Limited to about 400 words.

2. PROJECT PLANNING & PREPARATION & LOCAL SUPPORT

Describe the degree to which this project was planned for and the local support and commitment for the project. If this project is part of a plan, describe that plan and the project's priority in that plan. If this is a planning project describe how this project will be integrated into other efforts. For SRTS projects, describe walk/ bike audits, parent surveys and data on crashes that support the selection of this project.

3. HISTORY OF SPONSOR SUCCESS, DELIVERABILITY AND COMMITMENT TO MULTIMODAL

How will the project be implemented on time? What obstacles or problems must be overcome to implement this project, and in light of project obstacles, describe how the project sponsor will comply with state law and policy requiring project commencement within four years of the award date, and project completion within approximately six years? Please describe prior experience with other multimodal projects and success in delivering those projects in the year in which they were scheduled. For example, were you able to deliver the project in the year it was programmed? Have you ever had to turn back awarded federal funds? Please explain. If problems were experienced in the past, what will be done on this project to ensure successful completion? Describe the project sponsor's commitment to multimodal programs and facilities generally like a complete streets ordinance, advisory committees, or inclusion of multimodal accommodations in any other local program projects.

4. PROJECT UTILITY & CONNECTIVITY

For Infrastructure Projects

Describe the degree to which this project serves utilitarian rather than recreational purposes and how, if at all, the project adds connectivity to the state's multi-modal transportation network, including bicycle, pedestrian and transit facilities. Describe how, if at all, the proposed project would connect to these existing land uses: park, school, library, public transit, employment and/or retail centers, residential areas, other. Describe how this project fills a multimodal gap or serves as a backbone to a local multimodal network.

For Planning Projects

Implementation of plan would serve a broad geographic area and adds connectivity to the state's multimodal transportation network. Describe how this project fills a multimodal gap or serves as a backbone to a local multimodal network.

For Safe Routes to School Programming Projects

Will the project get a higher percentage of children walking and biking to school - addresses clear safety problems for children already walking/biking. Address the following desired outcomes: reduction in parent concerns that keep them from allowing children to walk/bike; potential for changes in hazard busing; change in policy limiting walking/biking to school; increased school commitment to promoting walking/biking; improved driver behaviors in the school zone; making it more appealing for children to walk/bike; more law enforcement participation in walking/biking issues

10/25/2017 A-10

5. PROJECT BENEFIT— ENVIRONMENTAL, LIVABLITY, ECONOMIC JUSTICE, PUBLIC HEALTH, HISTORICAL PRESERVATION, & SAFETY

NOTE: A TAP project should contribute to a community benefit. No applicant's project is expected to contribute to all the benefits listed on A-3 of the application, but a project that contributes to more than one benefit or has significant impact on a particular benefit will receive more points.

Describe the benefits likely derived from the proposed project, this description should correspond to the project benefit section on page A-3.

6. PROJECT CAPITALIZES ON, SUPPLEMENTS OR AUGMENTS AN EXISTING ROAD IMPROVEMENT PROJECT

There is a way to tie the multimodal project to an existing road improvement project that allows the project sponsor to take advantage of the resources of a larger project.

 For SRTS projects: The project addresses safety and education issues of walking and biking to school due to a recent or anticipated road improvement project.

Key Program Requirements Confirmation

Please confirm your understanding of the following project condition by typing your name, title and initials at the bottom of this section. A Head of Government/Designee with fiscal authority for the project sponsor must initial this section and sign this application. Sponsor consultant(s) should not initial or sign project applications.

WisDOT will deem ineligible any application that does not provide confirmation to this section.

- a. The project sponsor or private partner must provide matching dollar funding of at least 20% of project costs.
- b. This is a reimbursement program. The project sponsor must finance the project until federal reimbursement funds are available.
- c. The project sponsor will pay to the state all costs incurred by the state in connection with the improvement that exceed federal financing commitments or other costs that ineligible for federal reimbursement. In order to guarantee the project sponsor's foregoing agreements to pay the state, the project sponsor, through its duly executed officers or officials, agrees and authorized the state to set off and withhold the required reimbursement amount as determined by the state from any monies otherwise due and payable by the state to the municipality.
- d. The project sponsor must not incur costs for any phase of the project until that phase has been authorized for federal charges and the WisDOT Region has notified the sponsor that it can begin incurring costs. Otherwise, the sponsor risks incurring costs that will not be eligible for federal funding.
- e. The project sponsor will follow the applicable federal and state regulations required for each phase of the project. Some of these are described in the Guidelines. The requirements include, but are not limited to, the following: a Qualifications Based Selection (QBS) process for design and engineering services (Brooks Act); real estate acquisition requirements of the Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970 and amendments; competitive procurement of construction services; Davis-Bacon wage rates on federal highway right-of-way projects; WisDOT FDM & Bicycle Facilities Handbook; ADA regarding accessibility for the disabled; MUTCD regarding signage; U.S. Department of the Interior standards for historic buildings. Each WisDOT Region can provide copies of the current *Sponsor's Guide to Non-Traditional Transportation Project Implementation*, and references for sections of the Facilities Development Manual (FDM) and other documents necessary to comply with federal and state regulations. Applicants who plan to implement their projects as Local Let Contracts using the Sponsor's Guide must become certified that they are capable of undertaking these projects.

- f. If applying for a bicycle facility, it is understood that All Terrain Vehicles (ATVs) are not allowed. Snowmobile use is only allowable by local ordinance. Trail fees may only be charged on a facility if the fees are used solely to maintain the trail. WisDOT reserves the right to require that facilities be snowplowed as part of a maintenance agreement where year round use by bicyclists and pedestrians is expected.
- g. The project sponsor agrees to maintain the project for its useful life. Failure to maintain the facility, or sale of the assets improved with FHWA funds prior to the end of its useful life, will subject the sponsor to partial repayment of federal funds or additional stipulations protecting the public interest in the project for its useful life.
- h. If the project sponsor should withdraw the project, it will reimburse the state for any costs incurred by the state on behalf of the project.
- i. The project sponsor agrees to state delivery and oversight costs by WisDOT staff and their agents. These costs include review of Design and Construction documents for compliance with federal and state requirements, appropriate design standards, and other related review. These costs will vary with the size and complexity of the project. The sponsor agrees to add these costs to the project under the same match requirements 80% / 20% match requirements.
- j. Projects that are fully or partially federally funded must be designed in accordance with all applicable federal design standards, even if design of the project was 100% locally funded.
- k. As the project progresses, the state will bill the project sponsor for work completed that is ineligible for federal reimbursement. Upon project completion, a final audit will determine the final division of costs as between the state and the project sponsor. If reviews or audits reveal any project costs that are ineligible for federal funding, the project sponsor will be responsible for any withdrawn costs associated with the ineligible work.
- ***For 100% locally-funded design projects, cots for design plan development and state review for design are 100% the responsibility of the local project sponsor. Project sponsors may not seek federal funding only for state review of design projects.
- m. The project sponsor acknowledges that the requisite project commencement requirement and that failure to comply with the applicable commencement deadline will jeopardize federal funding. Commencement is within four years of the date of the project award. The project must be commenced within four (4) years of the project award date according to Sec. 85.021, Wis. Stats. For construction projects, a project is commenced when construction is begun. For planning projects, a planning project is commenced when the planning study is begun. For non-infrastructure projects that do not fall within any of the above categories, a project is considered commenced on the date that WisDOT receives the first reimbursement request from the project sponsor, as noted on form DT1713 in the 'Date Received' field.
- The project sponsor acknowledges that the requisite project completion timeline for approved TAP projects will be memorialized in a state-municipal agreement, and failure to comply with the applicable project timeline will jeopardize federal funding.
- p. Federally-funded transportation construction projects, with the exception of sidewalks, are likely improvements that benefit the public at large. Improvements of this type cannot generally be the basis of levying a special assessment pursuant to Wis. Stat. § 66.0703. Municipalities who wish to obtain project funding via special assessment levied against particular parcels should seek advice of legal counsel. <u>See</u> Hildebrand v. Menasha, 2011 WI App. 83.

Please confirm your understanding of the following project condition by typing your name, title and initials at the bottom of this section. A Head of Government/Designee with fiscal authority for the project sponsor must initial this section and sign this application. Sponsor consultant(s) should not initial or sign project applications.

I confirm that I have read and understand project conditions (a) through (o) above:

Name: Title: Accepted (please initial here):	u Flex unoque pri i na seascata for oraș forençare (d.)) Conformación de l'Occasione prodessa prodessa escapa de la composición de la composición de la composición de l
Fiscal Authorization and Signature	getterration raging med Lagric 1980
Application prepared by a consultant? Yes No If yes, consultant information and signature required below	ow.
Consultant Company Name: Company Locatio	n (City, State):
Consultant Signature (electronic only):	Date:
NOTE: On Local Program projects, it is not permissible for fee) for a municipality and then be selected to do the de consultant selection process early enough and make the understanding that all costs incurred prior to authorization. See FDM 8-5 for additional information.	sign work on a project. A municipality could start their ne application part of the scope of services with the
Sponsor Agonov, Villago of Allouar	(howas)
Sponsor Agency: Village of Allouez	(Alabaman bar Harda (Communication Decimals)
	Note: must be Head of Government or Designee)
Title: Village President	
Address: 1900 Libal Street	
Telephone: 920-448-2800	
Email: <u>irafter@villageofallouez.com</u>	
Only one project sponsor is allowed per project. As a repsigns below confirms that the information in this project amust sign the application. I understand that completion of for federal funding.	pplication is accurate. A local official, not a consultant,
Head of Government/Designee Signature (electronic on	<u>y</u>): Date:
Application and Attachments	
Submit applications and attachments utilizing the contact Scoping Application Instructions. Applicants must submit 2018 , and must include the following documents:	
Cost Estimate Detail as required in the 'Project Co	ne double-spaced page, minimum 11-point font size osts and Dates' section of this application in black & white, on one sheet of 8½ by 11 paper roposed project is project, attach a detailed list of available,

If proposed project crosses or runs parallel to a local road, street, or state or federal highway, attach
an existing typical cross-section of the roadway, showing right of way, travel lanes, shoulder and
sidewalk (if applicable) (see page A-2).
SRTS School Demographics Information
NOTE: <u>Do not</u> include additional attachments (photos, letters of support, etc.)

WisDOT Information – Shaded area to be completed by WisDOT staff only.

FOR WISDOT USE ONLY -This information must be e	ntered on the spreadsheet and on the application.
WisDOT Region comments on application, including eligib	bility concerns:
the application part of the scope of services with the	
Region Reviewer's Name:	See FDM 8-5 for additional information.
Reviewer's Title:	
Date Received:	

Project Summary

Using the Safe Routes to School, Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan, Allouez staff has prioritized Doty
Elementary as the school that would most benefit from additional sidewalks and intersection
improvements. Feeder sidewalks will be located on two sides of the campus, as well as improvements to
high volume intersections, providing a safer alternative for students to travel to school.

1. Description and Overview.

Village of Allouez is proposing a Safe Routes to Schools project at Doty Elementary School utilizing Transportation Alternatives Program funding. Without TAP financial assistance, this project would not be constructed as proposed due to lack of traditional funding sources.

Allouez staff has identified Doty Elementary, using the Allouez Safe Routes to School and Allouez Comprehensive Bicycle and Pedestrian plans, as a school that would most benefit from additional sidewalks and intersection improvements. The proposed project would include the installation of a sidewalk along the north side of Longview Avenue as well as the west side of East River Drive. This project will fill gaps in the sidewalk plan that will provide for uninterrupted connection for students to safely travel to and from school.

It is anticipated that the Village would schedule construction during the summer of 2022 so that the sidewalks would be open for the start of the 2022/23 school year.

The Doty School SRTS Project will construct sidewalk to connect the existing sidewalk on the north side of Longview Avenue at Doty School east to East River Drive to provide a safe walking route for students, and construct sidewalk along the west side of East River Drive from Hoffman Road to LeBrun Street (village south limit). These sidewalks will provide a safe walking route for students along East River Drive and Longview Avenue to access Doty School without walking in the streets. This project also includes two pedestrian crossings on Longview Avenue to connect sidewalk alleys from the south to Longview Avenue to the sidewalk on the north side of Longview. These are walkways that presently do not have crosswalks or crossing guards. The village will be installing sidewalk west of Libal Street to complete this school route in 2019 (separate from this SRTS project).

All sidewalks installed in the Doty SRTS Project will be 4-inch thick five-foot wide concrete, and located within existing village-owned right-of-way on Longview Avenue and East River Drive. The new sidewalk will extend the existing sidewalk on the Doty School side (north side) of Longview Avenue at Glenbrooke Lane 1,500 feet east to East River Drive. This segment of sidewalk, in conjunction with the recently completed segment on Longview Avenue between Webster Avenue and Delahut Street (2017), and the future project segment on Longview between Delahut Street and Libal Street (2019) will provide a complete route for students on either side of Doty School from Webster Avenue and East River Drive to the school as identified in the Allouez Safe Routes to School Plan. Two bump-outs with pedestrian crossings will be constructed at two pedestrian crossings along Longview Avenue near Doty School.

The proposed East River Drive sidewalk from Hoffman Road to LeBrun Street is 3,900 feet in length. This sidewalk extends the sidewalk route from Doty School to the homes along East River Drive all the way to Hoffman Road. This also provides connection to the existing sidewalk from De Pere and the existing sidewalk on Hoffman Road (County XX). A project map is included that shows the sidewalk routes included. In addition to sidewalks, the project includes pedestrian crosswalks and curb ramps at all intersections, and two bump-outs and crosswalks near Doty School.

2. Project Planning & Preparation & Local Support.

In May of 2011, a survey developed by the national SRTS program was distributed to parents and guardians of students who attend the five schools in the Village of Allouez. A total of 339 completed surveys were received by the schools. One of the questions asked if the parents or guardians would let their students walk or bicycle to or from school if certain improvements were made. The top six desired improvements identified in the returned surveys were:

- 1. Reduced amounts of traffic along routes (44% Yes)
- 2. Improved safety at intersections and other crossings (43% Yes)
- 2. Reduction of speed of traffic along routes (43% Yes)
- 4. Shorter distance between home and school (34% Yes)
- 5. Additional sidewalks or pathways (33% Yes)
- 5. Better weather or climate (33% Yes)

Based upon the results of the survey, it appears that many parents and guardians will feel more comfortable about their children walking or biking to school if safety is improved at intersections and other crossings, traffic is calmed along home-to-school walking and bicycling routes, and sidewalks and/or pathways are added along streets in the village.

The 2012 Village of Allouez SRTS plan noted the following observations for this project location at Doty School:

- A handful of students walked east along Longview after leaving school. These students had to walk in the street because sidewalks do not exist east of the school property. There are also no sidewalks on the south side of Longview Ave.
- ...many of the nearby streets do not have sidewalks or other walkways, so students who walk and bike are often forced onto streets for some or most of their trips.

The SRTS plan further recommended the following improvements for the proposed project area

- Add sidewalks to the north side of Longview between the Doty School property and East River Dr.
- Add mid-block bump-outs on both sides of Longview east of Doty School
- Add a sidewalk on the west side of East River Dr.

The Village of Allouez recently approved a Comprehensive Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan in 2017. The purpose of this plan is to consolidate planning strategies concerning pedestrian and bicycle transportation and recreation into one functional plan. The adoption of this plan demonstrates

the village's commitment to providing pedestrian and bicycle accommodations in the community. The Longview Avenue and East River Drive sidewalks are recommended in the 2017 plan.

3. History of Sponsor Success, Deliverability and Commitment to Multimodal. The Village of Allouez completed a Safe Routes to School Study and Plan in 2012, and this project is a recommendation in the plan.

In 2017, the Village approved a village-wide pedestrian and bicycle plan, which is a continuation of the SRTS Study and Plan. This bike and pedestrian plan provides improved access throughout the Village. The sidewalk included in the Doty School SRTS project on East River Drive is included in the village-wide pedestrian plan. This plan includes sidewalks on other routes in the village which will be constructed in conjunction with street reconstruction projects. These reconstruction projects are planned for specific years in the next ten years.

The current Webster School SRTS Project, currently bid and awaiting contract award for construction, will be completed in the summer of 2018. This project is also a recommendation of the Allouez SRTS Plan. This project is delayed about one-year from the original schedule due to a historical survey and temporary easements, but the Village had originally requested the shorter project schedule.

The village has committed by village board decision to winter maintenance of the planned sidewalk additions so that the school routes are maintained open for every day use.

The Village will retain a consulting engineering firm, at village cost, to assist with the topographic survey, design, and construction administration services for the project. Project delivery will be similar to the recent Webster School SRTS project.

The topographic survey will be completed in late 2018, and the design will be completed in 2019. It is not anticipated that easements will be a concern because these streets are 70 and 80 foot ROW. The 2022 construction timeline fits well with other village projects.

In addition to the sidewalk projects, the village has completed three major multi-use trails in the past 5 years. All projects have been successfully completed on time and within budget.

4. Project Utility and Connectivity

- Infrastructure Projects -

The new facilities are being proposed to accommodate utilitarian trips. Although some people will use the new facilities for non-utilitarian purposes after they are built, the reason they are being proposed is to enable and encourage kids to transport themselves to and from school on foot. Increasing the number of students walking to school is expected to reduce congestion on the streets during drop-off and pick-up and improve traffic flow. The facilities will also provide ancillary connections to other area amenities, such as retail/employment developments on Webster Avenue and Hoffman Road, as well as apartment and other residential developments

along the route. Additional connections will be made with existing facilities in the City of De Pere and Wiese Park, Kiwanis Park, and the East River Trail.

SRTS Programming Projects.

This project will provide a safe sidewalk route for students to walk to school, and reduce students currently walking in the streets to school. This is clearly a safety benefit.

This project will result in more students walking to school because of the sidewalk route and safety. This will enable the school to promote more student walking to school.

- 5. Project Benefit Environmental, Livability, Economic Justice, Public Health, Historical Preservation, and Safety
- **Environmental** Increases likelihood of modal shift to biking, walking or transit from utilitarian car travel. **Increases access and connection to the natural environment.**
- Safety Project addresses a specific safety concern. The project contains or addresses:
 - Lack of adequate safe crossing or access
 - Provides sidewalk or pathway, with curb-cuts.
 - Provides crosswalk enhancement (striping, refuge island, signal, etc.)

For SRTS Projects there is:

Lack of bicycle and pedestrian facilities or lack of connectivity of facilities that do exist

Children are walking but application shows that unsafe conditions exist.

Proposed Improvements East of Doty Elementary School P 7 7, []D SOMERSET DR Add Sidewolk Along West Side of East River Drive CDENHAVEN CT Add Sidewolk Atong West Side of Edst River Drive Add Sidewalk to Longview
Between School and East
Riven Drive
LONGVIEW AV Add Bump-Outs on Both Sides of Longview at Crossing After Sidewalk is Built Add Bump Outs on Both Sides of Lengview at Crossing
After Sidewalk is Built. MC CASTLEN ST Add Sidewelk Along West Side of East River Drive ONTONAGON CT 0

Doty School SRTS Project Construction Phase (Funded by SRTS)	Phase (Funded by SRTS)	
Construction Longview (School to East River Drive)	rer Drive)	
Sidewalk School Lot to East River Drive	1,500 ft x \$7,500 per 100 ft = \$112,500	\$112,500
Ped Crossing Striping	6 Crossings @ \$250 each = \$1,500	\$1,500
Curb Ramps/Painting (10)	10 Curb Ramps x \$500 ea = \$5,000	\$5,000
Subtotal		\$119,000
Construction Contingency @ 20%		\$23,800
Total Estimated Construction Cost		\$142,800
		ÿ
Construction East River Drive (Longview to Briar Lane)	o Briar Lane)	
Sidewalk Longview to Briar Lane	$1,150 \text{ ft } \times \$7,500 \text{ per } 100 \text{ ft} = \$86,250$	\$86,250
Curb Ramps/Painting (at Briar)	4 Curb Ramps @ \$500 ea = \$2,000	\$2,000
Subtotal		\$88,250
Project Contingency @ 20%		\$17,650
Total Estimated Construction Cost		\$105,900
Construction East River Drive (Longview to McCastlen	o McCastlen)	
Sidewalk Longview to McCastlen	1,000 ft x \$7,500 per 100 ft = \$75,000	\$75,000
Curb Ramps/Painting (at McCastlen)	2 Curb Ramps @ \$500 ea = \$1,000	\$1,000
Subtotal		\$76,000
Project Contingency @ 20%		\$15,200
Total Estimated Construction Cost		\$91,200
Construction East River Drive (McCastlen to Depere)	to Depere)	
Sidewalk McCastlen to Depere	550 ft x \$7,500 per 100 ft = $$41,250$	\$41,250
Project Contingency @ 20%		\$8,250
Total Estimated Construction Cost		\$49,500
Construction East River Drive (Briar Lane to Hoffman Road)	to Hoffman Road)	
Sidewalk Briar Lane to Hoffman Road	$1,215 \text{ ft} \times \$7,500 \text{ per } 100 \text{ ft} = \$91,125$	\$91,125
Curb Ramps/Painting (1 at Somerset)	2 Curb Ramps @ \$500 ea = \$1,000	\$1,000
Subtotal		\$92,125
Project Contingency @ 20%		\$18,425
Total Estimated Construction Cost		\$110,550

Subtotal Construction	\$499,950
State Review for Construction @2.5%	12,500
Total Construction Cost	\$512,450
State Share of Participating Construction Cost @80%	\$409,960
Local Share of Participating Construction Cost @20%	\$102,500