

April 12, 2021 (Special Village Board Meeting)

**SPECIAL ALLOUEZ VILLAGE BOARD MEETING
MONDAY, APRIL 12, 2021
6:30 P.M., ALLOUEZ VILLAGE HALL
Virtual Meeting**

CALL TO ORDER / ROLL CALL

Present: Harris, Genrich, Atwood, Rafter, Dart, Sampson
Excused: Green

Also Present: Lange, Gehin, Attorney Peterson

MODIFY / ADOPT AGENDA

Harris/Genrich moved to adopt the agenda as presented. Motion carried.

ANNOUNCEMENTS

- None

AL-2021-02 STREET & UTILITY RECONSTRUCTION BID- ROSELAWN BLVD. (RIVERSIDE DRIVE TO THE EAST +/- 1,400 FEET) ALTERNATE #1 ROSELAWN SIDEWALK CONSTRUCTION

Harris/Genrich moved to accept contract AL-2021-02 awarded to David Tenor Corp. without the alternate bid of installing sidewalks, modifications to original bid design and that motion is contingent upon discussion making changes to the design of road (item #5) and the contractor's willingness to work with the Village of Allouez. Motion carried.

2021 STREET RECONSTRUCTION ENGINEERING AGREEMENT AMENDMENT- ROSELAWN BLVD. DESIGN MODIFICATIONS

Discussion:

- Balance look and feel of Roselawn but allow for flexibility in the future.
- Is there a need for a 36 foot roadway?
- If reduce straightaway width from 36 inches to 32 inches, does that reduce the amount of curve in the road, increase or leave as is?
- Village Board would like to come up with a final design that is fair and works for everyone.

Sampson/Dart moved to open the meeting for public comment. Motion carried.

Maureen Lawson, 440 Roselawn Blvd.

- Prefers road width to stay as is because of the way the street is used.

April 12, 2021 (Special Village Board Meeting)

Maryellen Merck, 461 Roselawn Blvd.

- If shifting the north side by two feet, are we still shaving two feet off the boulevards? (Board clarified westbound lane would stay the same width but move over 24 inches and instead of boulevard being 40 feet wide, it would become 38 feet wide.)
- How will it be designed? Concerned with straightaway areas, each boulevard is a different width. (Gehin - reduction in roadway based on studies suggests it will calm traffic. Angle of deflection to islands will decrease slightly making movement easier on north side, no changes to south side island width.)

Mike Hoes, 400 Roselawn Blvd.

- If the width of the non-boulevard portion of the roads are narrowed, would parking remain the same or be eliminated? (Gehin- parking will remain the same, but may need to allow for temporary parking during boating season.)
- If you shave two feet from the islands, would all other boulevards be shaved down on the eastern half of the project? (Gehin- same design standards would hold true for the east and west end.)

Diane Reich, 260 Roselawn Blvd.

- Disagrees with narrowing the roadway on both sides of the non-boulevard sections.
- On south side of street, if you are only narrowing sections that are not in front of a boulevard, you are drastically increasing the angle that cars will have to maneuver around the narrowed part of non-boulevard section.

Margarette Allen, 480 Roselawn Blvd.

- Would rather see wider spaces available for kids and families to enjoy and would like the street to stay the same as much as possible.

Mary Jo Austin, 410 Roselawn Blvd.

- Discussion about narrowing is leading to a sidewalk and she does not want a sidewalk.

Yvonne Lied, 234 St. Francis Drive

- Seems as though we are trading available space that is already well used for the possibility of sidewalks and it does not conform to what you normally use for sidewalks.

Robin Nelson, 260 Roselawn Blvd.

- Concerned about road narrowing and feels that a sidewalk is not needed as there have been no safety issues and it is not an adequate use of taxpayer money.
- Understands we are planning for the future but believes this is something a future board can do with the next reconstruction.

Randy Reich, 260 Roselawn Blvd.

- Concerned about road narrowing, difference between boulevards vs. street, and believes sidewalks are not financially wise.

April 12, 2021 (Special Village Board Meeting)

Kristina VanEgeren, 560 Roselawn Blvd.

- Feels comfortable with the way the street is, space in the boulevards gives us a sense of security, and to keep the safety of the street intact.

Sampson/Genrich moved to return to regular order of business. Motion carried.

Discussion:

- Motion indicates there would be no change to road width.
- Green space vs. concrete (32 feet or 36 feet of roadway).
- It is the duty of the Village Board to provide a safe passage for pedestrians and cars.

Harris/Atwood moved to amend contract AL-2021-02 with the following modifications to the original design from RASmith- shift curb 24 inches on north side, no changes in the current road width in the areas without the islands (stay at current width of 36 feet), update shifting of the curb on the north side of the road and islands to be approximately 24 inches (no changes to road width), and continue to allow for possible future development of a sidewalk on Roselawn Boulevard. Motion carried. (Sampson voted nay.)

ADJOURNMENT

Dart/Genrich moved to adjourn at 7:57 p.m. Motion carried.

Minutes submitted by Carrie Zittlow, Clerk-Treasurer